(c) Steps taken to augment underground coal production *inter alia* include opening of new mines, modernisation of existing mines, application of new technologies to achieve maximum results and ensuring timely availability of inputs and infrastructural facilities.

Low productivity in under-ground Mines

5821. DR. B.B. DUTTA: Will the Minister of COAL be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 828 given in the Rajya Sabha on 20th March, 1995 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that one of the major constraints on the profitability of the coal sector is low productivity in under-ground mines;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) how these compare with the productivity of major coal producing countries?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COAL (SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. During 1994-95 output-per-manshift (OMS) of the underground mines of the Coal India (CIL) was 0.56 tonne and in Singareni Collieries Company Limited (SCCL) OMS was 0.69 tonne.

(c) Available productivity figures in terms of output-per-manshift (OMS) for underground mines of some of the major coal producing countries of the world are as under:

(Figures in Tonnes)

Countries	Under-ground	Year
Australia	15.60	1992
France	3.9	1990-91
Germany	5.20	1992
India fCIL)	0.56	1994-95
(SCCL)	0.69	1994-95
Poland	1.90	1990-91
United States	18.00	1992
UK	8.76	1993

Direction to cancel pending allocations of sponsored coal by Coal India Limited

5822. SHRI S. MUTHU MANI: Will the Minister of COAL be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Coal India Ltd. has instructed its subsidiaries to cancel all pending allocations of sponsored coal made to the non-sector industries as on December, 1994;

(b) whether it is also a fact that due to cancellation of indents by the Coal India Ltd. and its subsidiaries small units will be the most affected;

(c) whether Government has received any representation from the India Coal Merchants Association on the above cancellation stating that the cancellation was unlawful and unjust; and

(d) if so, what action has been taken by Government to redress the grievances of these small units?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COAL (SHRI AJIT KUMAR PANJA): (a) to (d) According to information furnished by Coal India Limited (CIL) consents of coal companies for supplies of coal had validity only till the end of the month/ quarter, except that three subsidiaries of Coal India Limited (CIL) has a different practice. It was decided in January, 1995 to adopt a uniform system in all subsdiaries of CIL as a result of which one months grace period is to be allowed at the end of the a quarter after which the remaining consents lapse. This measure was taken to deal with the problem of heavy backlogs and to avoid blocking of customers money for an unreasonable long periods.

Some suggestions in this regard have been received from Indian Coal Merchants Association. Government's perception is that the decision is not